Plenary Lecture

501

New radiotherapy fractionation schemes in head and neck cancers. The EORTC trials: A benchmark

J.C. Horiot¹, P. Bontemps², A.C. Begg³, R. Le Fur⁴, W. van den Bogaert⁵, M. Bolla⁶, T. Nguyen⁷, D. van den Weijngaert⁸, J. Bernier⁹, A. Lusinchi¹⁰, M. Stuschke¹¹, D. Lopez-Torrecilla¹², B. Jancar¹³, L. Collette¹³, M. Van Glabbeke¹³, M. Pierart¹³. EORTC Data Center Bruxelles; ⁵Leuven; ⁶Antwerpen, Belgium; ¹Dijon; ²Besançon; ⁴Rouen; ⁶Grenoble; ⁷Reims; ¹⁰Villejuif, France; ³Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ⁹Bellinzona; ¹¹Essen, UK; ¹²Valencia, Spain; ¹³Ljubljana, Slovenia

From 1980 to 1995, 867 head & neck patients (pts) were accrued in 2 randomised phase III trials of hyper (HF) or accelerated (AF) versus conventional fractionation (CF). Both led to positive conclusions in favour of the HF & AF arms.

EORTC trial 22791 (356 pts, 1980–87) compared CF (70 Gy/35–40 fr/7–8 wks, using 2 fr \times 1.15 Gy/day). Locoregional control (LRC) was higher (p = 0.01) in HF versus CF. At 5 years, 56% of the pts are LRC free with HF versus 38% with CF. Progression free survival was significantly better in the HF arm (p = 0.008). There was no difference in late normal tissue damage between the 2 treatment modalities.

EORTC trial 22851 (511 pts, 1985–1995) compared AF (72 Gy/45 ft/5 wks) to CF (70 Gy/35 ft/7 wks). Acute and late toxicities were increased in the AF arm. The AF arm is significantly better (p = 0.017) for locoregional control than the CF arm (a 15% locoregional gain at 5 years) resulting in a specific survival trend over the CF arm (p = 0.06). Overall, these 2 trials show evidence of a major locoregional improvement brought by schemes based upon experimental radiobiological concepts. On-going trials and impact on standard practice will be discussed.